Tradeoffs between Working Capital and Production Capacity for Multi-Stage Manufacturing

Authors: Karim Kamareddine, Yihong Yao Advisor: Jarrod Goentzel

MIT SCM Research FEST

May 19, 2016



Agenda





Company/Background



Company/Background



Company:

Pharmaceutical company with a multi-stage manufacturing process



Seckground:

- Many SKU's with different production stages.
- Focusing on one SKU and one production process

Primary Objective:

Overall objective to reduce inventory



Secondary Objective:

Increase flexibility & reduce risk

Proposal:

Invest in new production facilities



Methodology:

Scenario test different investments



Introduction



Introduction- Manufacturing Process



a) Traditional two-echelon production scheme



Source: (Arul Sundaramoorthy, Xiang Li, James M.B. Evans, Paul I. Bartona, 2012)



Introduction-Production Schedule



Figure: Production schedule for <u>ONE</u> production facility

	C,	/0 C,	/0 C,	/0 C,	/o c	/o c,	/0 0	C/O C,	/0 C,	/o c	/0 C	/O C,	/0
Stage	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
Quarter		Q1			Q2			Q3			Q4		
	С	/o c	/o c,	/0 C	/o c	/o c	/0 0	c/o c	/0 C	/o c	/o c	/0 C/	0
Stage	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	
Quarter		Q1			Q2			Q3			Q4		

Figure: Production schedule for <u>TWO</u> production facility



Introduction – Inventory (One production facility)



Characteristics:

- Big batches
- Low frequency of changeovers
- Long lead-times
- Low CAPEX investment



Introduction – Inventory (Two production facilities)



Characteristics:

- Smaller batches
- Higher changeovers
- Short lead-times
- High CAPEX investment



Methodology



Methodology – Scenario Analysis

Scenario	Number of Modules	Number of Stages in Production	Changeover Frequency (times/year)	Changeover Time (Weeks)	
Base Scenario	One Module	4	4	8	
Base Scenario	Two Modules	4	12	24	
Scenario 2	Two Modules	4	8	16	
Scenario 3	Two Modules	4	4	8	
Scenario 4	One Module	2	12	24	
Scenario 5	One Module	2	8	16	
Scenario 6	One Module	2	6	12	
Scenario 7	One Module	2	4	8	
Scenario 8	One Module	2	2	4	
Scenario 9	Two Modules	2	4	8	
Scenario 10	Two Modules	2	2	4	
Scenario 11	Two Modules	2	0	0	
Scenario 12	Two Modules	2	0	0	

MIT Supply Chain

Methodology - Production Planning

- Constraints
- Demand
- Number of Stages

APQ = $\frac{Total \ Production \ Quantity \ (Tons/Stage)}{Number \ of \ Batches/Stage}$

Changeover time Changeover frequency $PT^* = \frac{52 - CF * 2}{CF}$

Equipment Capacity
$$EC = roundup(\frac{APQ}{PT})$$

- 5 tons per week ~ equipment costs £30 million
- 4 tons per week ~ equipment costs £24 million
- 3 tons per week ~ equipment costs £18 million



Methodology - KPIs

NPV

FCF = NOPAT + Deprecitiaion - Net Capital Expenditures - Net Working Capital Investment

Work-in-process Inventory (WIP)

IOH = Initial Inventory + Inventory Produced - Inventory Consumed $Inventory value = \frac{\sum(average weekly inventory values)}{52 weeks/year}$

Flexibility:

- Finished goods lead time
- Production utilization rate
- Allowable demand fluctuation





Results – 4-Stage Production

	4 Stages					
	One Module	odule Two Modules				
	Base	Base Scenario Sce				
	Scenario	Scenario	2	3		
Changeover Frequency (CF) (times/year)	4	12	8	4		
Total Production Time	44	28	36	44		
AVG production quantity for each batch	52.0	8.7	13.0	26.0		
Production time for each batch (Week/batch)	11.0	2.3	4.5	11.0		
Equipment Capacity (Tons/week)	5	4	3	3		
Production Utilization Rate (%)	80%	50%	67%	67%		
Machine Operating Time Rate (%)	85%	54%	69%	85%		
Allowable Demand Fluctuation %	6%	8%	4%	27%		
Lead Time (weeks)	39	14	20	41		
Inventory Investment (£M)	8.24	3.68	4.32	6.22		
Module Investment (£M)	10	10	10	10		
Equipment Investment (£M)	30	48	36	36		
Total Capital Investment (£M)	48.24	61.68	50.32	52.22		
NPV	294.70	276.18	287. 53	2 85.64		



Results – 2-Stage Production

	2 Stages									
	One Module						Two Modules			
	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12	
Changeover Frequency (CF) (times/year)	12	8	6	4	2	4	2	0	0	
Total Production Time	28	36	40	44	48	44	48	52	52	
AVG production quantity for each batch	8.7	13.0	17.3	26.0	52.0	26.0	52.0	+∞	+∞	
Production time for each batch (Week/batch)	2.3	4.5	6.7	11.0	24.0	11.0	24.0	+∞	+∞	
Equipment Capacity (Tons/week)	4	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	
Production Utilization Rate (%)	50%	67%	67%	67%	67%	50%	50%	100%	50%	
Machine Operating Time Rate (%)	54 %	69%	77%	85%	92%	85%	92%	100%	100%	
Allowable Demand Fluctuation %	8%	4%	15%	27%	38%	69%	85%	0%	100%	
Lead Time (weeks)	6	8	10	15	28	15	28	1	1	
Inventory Investment (£M)	4.5 3	4.60	5.22	5.85	8.01	5.84	7.87	3.40	3,40	
Equipment Investment (£M)	24	18	18	18	18	24	24	12	24	
Total Capital Investment (£M)	38.53	32.60	33.22	33.85	36.01	39.84	41.87	25.4 0	37.40	
NPV	304 .40	310.34	3 09.72	<mark>309.0</mark> 9	306. 93	298.01	295.98	312.46	300.46	













- The higher CF is, the higher production capacity, the lower WIP
- The higher SG is, the higher production capacity, the higher WIP, the lower NPV



Recommendations



Recommendation

- There is a trade-off between production capacity and inventory
- Production capacity has a higher impact on the NPV than does inventory
- The best NPV is achieved when the production capacity reaches its tipping point
- Other considerations: flexibility and risk
- Future research opportunities: finished goods inventory reduction, demand variation, multiple SKUs, and/or considering new product introductions



Q&A

