# **Decoupled Capacity by Powerloop**







Elisa Fankhauser

Ge Li

## AGENDA

Industry Background & Sponsoring Company

Project Description

Methodology

Data

76

Result & Analysis

Recommendation

Conclusion



## Industry Background & Sponsoring Company

## Uber Freight

- Affiliate of Uber Technologies, Inc.
- Founded in 2017
- ELD mandate has put further pressure on driver capacity
- UF aims to provide more efficient and transparent loads to help shippers and carriers in a better way

# Powerl

- Affiliate of Uber Technologies, Inc.
- Founded in 2018
- Rethinking how to combine tech and trucking to improve driver utilization







What benefit can shippers realize in detention fee savings and improved on-time delivery from using Powerloop?



## Methodology







#### Assumptions:

- Limited regions studied to the Texas Triangle (Fort Worth, Dallas, Houston, Austin, and San Antonio)
- Removed null value data entries
- Limited to operational loads only (no App booked loads)
- Limited to commercial loads only (no trailer repositioning trips)











## Methodology - Detention Time Simulation

#### **Distribution Tests**

- Used Python Fitter to test 80 types of distributions
- Chi-square tested three most fit ones
- None was proved to statistically represent the real distribution





## Methodology - Detention Time Simulation

#### Heuristics Method:

- Generated random numbers based on the probability density function (PDF) of real distributions
- Result represent the real distribution well













## Result & Analysis - OTD

#### **Result:**

- Drop-Drop loads could improve OTD by 2% compared to Live-Live loads currently.
- Difference is not significant as DD is still in Learning Curve

#### Insights:

- Drop loads in general have better OTD
- Drop-Drop loads have the same OTD as Drop-Live loads
- Simulated OTD is lower than actual
- Window schedule types perform better than Appointment schedule types



#### OTD Performance Comparison



## Result & Analysis – Detention Fee Savings

#### **Result:**

 Drop-Drop loads could save \$16 per load on detention fees compared to Live-Live loads

#### Insights:

- Drop-Drop > Drop-Live > Live-Live
- Window schedule types have higher detention fees than Appointment schedule types



#### Accessorial Fees Per Load Comparison

■ Appt ■ Window ■ Model Average ■ Expected Actual



## **Result & Analysis – Detention Fee Savings**



Simulated Total Detention Fee per Shipper per Year Comparison

Assumptions:

- 100 loads per day per shipper •
- 260 business days per year (5 days/week \* 52 weeks/year) •

#### Future Researches

- Re-calculate PDF values as Powerloop moves along the learning curve to monitor improvements.
- Track trip identifiers between the inbound and outbound trips to understand effects of the outbound trips to the inbound ones.
- Collect more features of data and conduct future machine learning studies on OTD and detention fee predictions.
  - 6 Features of existing data explains 22% of the expected actual detention fees
    - Delivery distance
    - Appointment type at the pickup facility
    - On-time pickup
    - On-time delivery
    - Detention times at pickup
    - Detention times at drop-off



#### Conclusion





- Drop-Drop currently improves 2% on OTD than Live-Live
- Expecting higher number upon completing learning curve
- Further studies could be done to testify current hypothesis

- Drop-Drop in theory could save \$16 per load on detention fee compared to Live-Live
- Assuming 100 loads per day per shipper, DD could potentially save \$400K than LL



## Thank You

