



PREDICTING CARRIER LOAD CANCELLATIONS

MIT Center for Transportation & Logistics

Research Fest

May 22, 2018



Ali Al-Habib

Nicolas Favier

Advisor

Dr. Christopher Mejia







Agenda



ト

INTRODUCTION

Trucking industry background & load cancellation impacts

DATA ANALYSIS

Descriptive analytics of load cancellation over three-year dataset

MODELING

Predictive models applied on the dataset to identify main cancellation drivers



RESULTS Models results presented in confusion matrices and results analysis

CONCLUSION

Recommended actions and future research challenges







1 INTRODUCTION







MOTIVATION





Source: Freight Facts and Figures, by U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics 2015; CSCMP's Annual State of Logistics Report, by AT Kearney; & Data Analysis from the sponsor company





PROCESS







POTENTIAL CANCELLATION DRIVERS









DATA ANALYSIS







BEHAVIOR OVER TIME









LOCATION FACTOR



Loads & Cancellation Ratios by city







SHIPPERS & CARRIER FACTORS



Cancellation Ratios by shipper industry



Relationship (years)

<1 year 1-2 years >2 years

Cancellation Ratios by carrier length of relation with the company







TIME FACTORS





Pickup Appt Time Bucket



Cancellation Ratios by duration between booking & load pickup



Cancellation Ratios by day of the week

Cancellation Ratios by pickup time





3 MODELING







DATA PREPARATION























AVAILABLE DATASET

AVAILABLE DATASET



|--|

Predictor Screening

	IsBounced			
Predictor	Contribution	Portion	Rank	
BookToPickupHours	46322.8	0.3314	1	
BookToLoadDays	39951.2	0.2858	2	
LoadVolume	12314.5	0.0881	3	
DeadHeadMiles	6417.1	0.0459	4	
EquipmentPowerUnits	4513.7	0.0323	5	
carrier_Contract-Spot	4512.8	0.0323	6	
EquipmentDrivers	4180.3	0.0299	7	
MaxDwellTime	2656.2	0.0190	8	
Cost	2492.0	0.0178	9	
Contract-Spot	2341.5	0.0168	10	
MarketCost	2249.9	0.0161	11	
PickupState	2079.6	0.0149	12	
Industry	1432.3	0.0102	13	

Parameter Estimates

Term	Estimate	Std Error	ChiSquare	Prob>ChiSq
Intercept	2.20484041	0.2119005	108.27	<.0001*
DeadHeadMiles	-0.0009195	2.0671e-5	1978.7	<.0001*
BookToPickupHours	-0.0060589	2.1234e-5	81418	<.0001*
EquipmentPowerUnits	-4.4072e-5	2.2362e-6	388.42	<.0001*
LoadVolume	0.00011912	9.0133e-7	17465	<.0001*

MODEL RESULTS

	_	No	Yes	
a	No	652,501	2,956	655,457
ctu:	Yes	129,727	1,971	131,698
Ā		782,228	4,927	787,155
	Error			16.86%
	Missed	Bounces		98.50%

		Missed	
	Error %	Bounces	
Neural Networks	16.73%	6 99.95%	
Random Forest	16.61%	99.48%	
K-Neares Neighbor	19.90%	84.44%	







DATA ENRICHMENT

CANCELLATION RATIOS

	Loadid	CarrierID	Sequence	CityName	IsBounced		
	4997327	80887	1	Rochelle	False		
	5031474	80887	1	Rochelle	False		
	6147652	80887	1	Rochelle	False		
	6268918	80887	1	Rochelle	False	Enriched Dat/	ASET
	8370937	80887	1	Rochelle	False		
	- 7925070	80887	1	Rochelle	False		
	8310781	80887	2	Rochelle	False	Bounce Ratio=1/12=0.08333	~
	8692122	80887	1	Rochelle	False		
	7876415	80887	1	Rochelle	True	224021	
	7925070	80887	2	Rochelle	False	/) O (v /)	
	8107515	80887	1	Rochelle	False		
	8308590	80887	2	Rochelle	False		
	9202460	80887	1	Rochelle	False		
	9202460	80887	2	Rochelle	False		
Repeat	ed loads are d only once :	for –	Loadid Ca	arrierID Cit	yName Sequer	nce carrierCityBnunceRatio	
the rati	o calculatio	า	8370937	80887 R	ochelle	1 0.083333 Average of the CarrierCity	
			8370937	80887 Wint	ersville	2 0.222222 Bounce Ratio for Each Stop	
						Loadid CarrierID carrierCityBounceRatio	
G		Transi	enter for	& Loaistic	5	8370937 80887 0.152778 on Load-Level	17

SEVERE WEATHER DATA*

*Source: National Centers for Environmental Information





ENRICHED DATASET

ENRICHED DATASET



PREDICTOR SCREENING

Predictor Screening

		IsBounced	
Predictor	Contribution	Portion	Rank
carrierCityBounceRatio	733035	0.8383	1
CarrierEquipmentTypeBounceRatio	53397	0.0611	2
BookToPickupHours	38846	0.0444	3
ShipperBounceRatio	15532	0.0178	4
LoadVolume	13612	0.0156	5
DeadHeadMiles	3163	0.0036	6
EquipmentPowerUnits	2774	0.0032	7
Contract-Spot	2009	0.0023	8
carrier_Contract-Spot	1923	0.0022	9
PickupState	1819	0.0021	10
DropState	1293	0.0015	11
Miles	1038	0.0012	12
MaxDwellTime	920	0.0011	13
A Parameter Estimates			

Term	Estimate	Std Error	ChiSquare	Prob>ChiSq
Intercept	4.58208581	0.009991	210332	<.0001*
carrierCityBounceRatio	-11.960166	0.0188223	403767	<.0001*
CarrierEquipmentTypeBounceRatio	3.31025278	0.0284327	13555	<.0001*
BookToPickupHours	-0.005077	2.8018 e -5	32834	<.0001*
ShipperBounceRatio	-3.0534413	0.0493792	3823.8	<.0001*
Contract-Spot[C]	-0.3171286	0.0029911	11241	<.0001*

MODEL RESULTS

		Predicti	ions	
		No	Yes	
a	No	638,652	16,880	655,532
ctu	Yes	52,155	79,468	131,623
₹		690,807	96,348	787,155
	Error			8.77%
	Missed I	Bounces		39.62%

		Missed	
	Error %	Bounces	
Neural Networks	8.67%	6 39.04%	
Random Forest	8.70%	42.13%	
K-Neares Neighbor	9.33%	⁶ 44.32%	







ADDITIONAL DATASET









UNPREDICTABILITY TESTING

AVAILABLE HISTORICAL DATA

Additional 3-month data

<= 10 Historical Records (67%)

> 10 Historical Records (33%)













MULTIPLE CLUSTERS, MULTIPLE MODELS

Tes	Error	Missed Bounces	
Logistic Regression (Threshold=0.5)	- Base Scenario	17.02%	83.79%
	Low Cost (<= \$500)	18.20%	99.06%
Cost Clustering	Mid Cost	16.67%	98.46%
	High Cost (>= \$6000)	8.49%	100.00%
	Same day delivery (<= 250 mi)	16.07%	99.18%
Miles Clustering	Next Day delivery	18.08%	98.18%
	Long Haul (>= 550 mi)	18.08%	98.18%
	Less than 24h	8.53%	100.00%
Pools To mickum Hours Clustering	Between 24h and 48h	16.91%	100.00%
BOOK TO PICKUP HOURS Clustering	Between 48h and 72h	20.58%	99.99%
	More than 72h	22.33%	99.58%







THRESHOLD SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS









THRESHOLD SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS









5 CONCLUSION







NEXT STEPS

THRESHOLD CHANGE

- Use the model with lower threshold (0.17)
- Predict up-to 42% of cancelled loads
- Tradeoff ratio 4:1 (predicted cancellation : actual cancellation)

FURTHER RESEARCH

- Surveys to capture range of cancellation reasons
- Record actual reasons for each cancellation
- Capture details related to these reasons
- Record additional information for each load:
 - Loads sequence at truck level
 - Carrier booked capacity
 - Rejection Rate



25





CHALLENGES

LOAD SEQUENCE SCENARIO



OVERBOOKING SCENARIO









THANK YOU!

Q&A



Ali Al-Habib



Nicolas Favier

